

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property  
Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
13 May 2004 (13.05.2004)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 2004/039875 A1**

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **C08J 11/06**, (74) Agent: **SEMBEROVÁ, Dana**; Patent and License Services ASCR, Politických Veznu 7, 111 21 Praha 1 (CZ).  
C08L 23/00
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/CZ2003/000059
- (22) International Filing Date: 27 October 2003 (27.10.2003)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data:  
PV 2002-3589 30 October 2002 (30.10.2002) CZ
- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **ÚSTAV MAKROMOLEKULÁRNÍ CHEMIE AKADEMIE VED ČESKÉ REPUBLIKY [CZ/CZ]**; Heyrovského nám. 2, 162 06 Praha 6 (CZ).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **KRULIS, Zdenek** [CZ/CZ]; Kociánova 1582, 152 23 Praha 5-Stodulky (CZ). **MICHÁLKOVÁ, Danuse** [CZ/CZ]; Melnická 6, 150 00 Praha 5 (CZ). **FORTELNÝ, Ivan** [CZ/CZ]; Myslíkova 5, 110 00 Praha 1-Nové Město (CZ). **HORÁK, Zdenek** [CZ/CZ]; Prístavní 27, 170 00 Praha 7 (CZ).
- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MX, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- Declaration under Rule 4.17:**  
— of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only
- Published:**  
— with international search report
- For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

WO 2004/039875 A1

(54) Title: METHOD OF RECYCLING OF COMMINGLED PLASTICS WASTE TO TOUGH THERMOPLASTIC MATERIALS

(57) Abstract: The application concerns the method of recycling commingled plastics waste containing min. 30 wt. % of polyolefins to a tough thermoplastic material. The method is based on the compatibilization of the polymer components of commingled plastics waste with an admixture of 2 - 15 wt. % of an ethylene - propylene copolymer or a styrene - butadiene block copolymer or a combination of an ethylene - propylene copolymer and a styrene - butadiene copolymer in any weight ratio, together with 0.1 - 2.5 wt. % of a secondary aromatic amine and by subsequent blend processing in the melt.

Description5 Method of recycling of commingled plastics waste to tough thermoplastic materialsTechnical Field

10 The invention concerns recycling of commingled plastics waste to tough thermoplastic materials.

Background Art

15 Immiscibility of components of commingled plastics waste recyclates is the greatest barrier to achieving its utilizable application properties. The immiscibility of different polymers itself, caused by thermodynamic limitations, would not be a hindrance to the preparation of materials with practically utilizable properties if the condition of their mutual compatibility were fulfilled. (Compatibility is generally understood as the ability of immiscible polymers to form such supramolecular structure of the resulting blend that enables achieving material properties close to or better than those of polymer components of the blend.) However, 20 mutual compatibility of most thermoplastic polymers is very low. From mutual immiscibility and low interphase adhesion result strong separation trends of the blend components. All material properties dependent on stress transfer (tensile strength, ductility, toughness) in such blends remain deep below the level corresponding to the additivity rule. The materials thus 25 characterized are practically unusable.

Separation tendencies of components of blends of mutually immiscible polymers can be efficiently suppressed by their compatibilization. As efficient compatibilizers of polyolefin blends proved successful statistical and block ethylene – propylene copolymers (e.g., 30 according to DE 28 49 114, US 4,319,005 or US 4,567,847), and styrene – butadiene or hydrogenated styrene – butadiene block copolymers or their blends with ethylene – propylene copolymers for compatibilization of polyolefin – polystyrene mixtures (e.g., according to Czech Application PV 2000-525). In addition to the additive compatibilizers, also reactive systems based on initiated radical reactions of polyolefin components were successfully 35 tested (e.g., according to Czech patents CZ 284819 and CZ 284862).

Used plastic packings and multimaterial products of short and medium lifetimes from households and small firms are the largest source of plastics waste. The commingled plastics waste consists of approximately 65 % polyolefins (low-density and high-density polyethylene, polypropylene), 11 % polystyrene plastics, 13 % polyester plastics (mainly poly(ethylene terephthalate)) and small proportions of poly(vinyl chloride) plastics and polyamides. Plastics waste coming from municipal scrap is usually unsorted or with removed, usually incompletely, poly(ethylene terephthalate) bottles. Polymer components of the waste are devalued to various extent by thermal and weathering degradation and, in addition, the waste contains other contaminants of various origin. The strength characteristics of blends of thermoplastics damaged by degradation are even worse than with those undamaged by degradation. Sorting and cleaning of the blends is a technologically complex and energetically demanding process. For processing of commingled plastics waste, a special technology developed for the purpose is often used, based on mixing a commingled plastics melt in an extruder and immediate extrusion of the melt into a mold. The advantage of this method of processing of commingled plastics waste consists in that comparatively large articles can be easily obtained. A drawback is not quite good mechanical properties of the final recyclate, which can hence compete in applications only with cheap sorts of wood or concrete. This recycling method is suitable for production of massive articles fulfilling only low aesthetic and strength demands. The economical balance of the recycling method for commingled plastics waste is not very good and is usually close to the cost-effectiveness limit.

In the study of structure and properties of commingled thermoplastic waste, it was surprisingly found out that an admixture of an ethylene – propylene copolymer or styrene – butadiene block copolymer in combination with secondary aromatic amines leads, after subsequent processing of the blend by melt mixing, to considerable enhancement of toughness of the resulting material. Further it was found out that an admixture of an ethylene – propylene copolymer or styrene – butadiene block copolymer in combination with secondary aromatic amines to mixed degradation-damaged plastics containing polyolefins and polystyrene exhibits a synergistic compatibilization effect, i.e., the toughness of the resulting material is considerably higher than that of the same mixed degradation-damaged plastics compatibilized with an admixture of an ethylene – propylene copolymer alone or styrene – butadiene block copolymer alone or with a combination of an ethylene – propylene copolymer and styrene – butadiene block copolymer. The compatibilization efficiency of the method according to the invention is noticeably higher with degradation-damaged

commingled plastics waste containing polyolefins and styrene plastics, where the hitherto used compatibilization methods practically fail.

The recycle of commingled plastics waste compatibilized by the method according to the invention can then show high toughness while maintaining a balanced complex of the other utility values.

#### Disclosure of Invention

The disclosure of the invention is the method of recycling of commingled plastics waste containing at least 30 wt. % of polyolefins to a tough thermoplastic material characterized in that polymer components of commingled plastics waste are compatibilized by an admixture of 2-15 wt. % of ethylene – propylene copolymer (i) or styrene – butadiene block copolymer (ii) or a combination of ethylene – propylene copolymer (i) and styrene – butadiene copolymer (ii) in any weight ratio and 0.1-2.5 wt. % of a secondary aromatic amine (iii) and by subsequent processing of the blend in melt.

A further characteristic of the method according to the present invention is that an ethylene – propylene copolymer (i) is the copolymer with an average molecular weight  $M_w$  of 40000 - 800000, which contains min. 15 % and max. 60 % of propylene units, a styrene – butadiene block copolymer (ii) is the copolymer with an average molecular weight  $M_w$  of 40000 - 300000, which contains min. 15 % and max. 60 % of styrene units with an average molecular weight  $M_w$  of polystyrene blocks of min. 6000 and max. 60000, and a secondary aromatic amine (iii) is selected from the group consisting of *N,N'*-diaryl-1,4-phenylenediamine, *N*-alkyl-*N'*-aryl-1,4-phenylenediamine and the reaction product of diphenylamine and acetone.

The advantages of the compatibilization method according to the invention are explained on the following examples. The used code and symbols have the following meaning:

LDPE = low-density polyethylene

HDPE = high-density polyethylene

PP = polypropylene

PS = polystyrene

HIPS = tough polystyrene (styrene –butadiene copolymer)

PET = poly(ethylene terephthalate)

EPDM = ethylene-propylene copolymer

SBS = styrene – butadiene – styrene block copolymer

5 DPA = diphenylamine

Aminox = reaction product of diphenylamine and acetone (a mixture of aromatic secondary amines)

UOP588 = *N*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-*N'*-phenyl-1,4-phenylenediamine

10 Dusantox = a mixture of two secondary aromatic amines consisting of 60 wt. % of *N*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-*N'*-phenyl-1,4-phenylenediamine and 40 wt.% of *N*-[4-( $\alpha,\alpha$ -dimethylbenzyl)phenyl]-*N'*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-1,4-phenylenediamine

$\alpha_e$  = tensile impact strength (kJ/m<sup>2</sup>)

## 15 Examples

### Example 1

The compatibilization procedure was applied to a sample of commingled plastics waste processed in Transform Co., Czech Republic (Trans V) of the following composition  
20 (determined by extraction and DSC measurement): low-density polyethylene (LDPE) 22.8 %, high-density polyethylene (HDPE) 26.5 %, isotactic polypropylene (PP) 30.4 %, polystyrene (PS) 18.0 % and poly(ethylene terephthalate) (PET) 2.3 %. As compatibilization system components, we used EPDM elastomer Buna AP 437 and styrene – butadiene – styrene block copolymer (SBS) Europrene SOL T 168. We have tested the following secondary aromatic  
25 amines: reaction product of diphenylamine with acetone (Aminox), *N*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-*N'*-phenyl-1,4-phenylenediamine (UOP588) and diphenylamine (DPA). The blends were stirred in the chamber of a Brabender plastometer at 240 °C at a kneader speed of 90 min<sup>-1</sup> for 8 min. The compatibilization efficiency was characterized by tensile impact strength ( $\alpha_e$ ) values at 23 °C, determined on a Zwick apparatus according to DIN 53448. The specimens were prepared  
30 from plates pressed on a laboratory press Fontijne. The  $\alpha_e$  values in dependence on the composition of the compatibilization system are given in Table 1.

Table 1

The dependence of tensile impact strength ( $\alpha_e$ ) of commingled plastics waste Trans V on the composition of the compatibilization system

Blend:	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII
5 Trans V (%)	100	95	95	95	95	95	95	95
EPDM (%)	0	1	1	1	1	2.5	2.5	2.5
SBS (%)	0	4	4	4	4	2.5	2.5	2.5
UOP588 (pph)	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0
DPA (pph)	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0
10 Aminox (pph)	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5
$\alpha_e$ (kJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.9	65.3	93.7	102.3	125	150	72.4	75.1

It clearly follows from Table 1 that the compatibilized blends show several-times higher toughness and that there is a pronounced synergy between an EPDM/SBS mixture and  
 15 secondary aromatic amines.

#### Example 2

In Table 2 are given the results of measurement of tensile impact strength for model blends of thermally aged (in a press at 200 °C for 1 h) of low-density polyethylene Bralen RA 2-19  
 20 (sLDPE) with a virgin sample of polystyrene homopolymer Krasten 171 (PS) and PET sample from recycled bottles (rPET). The compatibilization system components, EPDM and SBS, and the conditions of blend mixing and specimen preparation are the same as in Example 1. In contrast to the preceding example, a mixture of two secondary aromatic amines was used consisting of 60 wt. % of *N*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-*N'*-phenyl-1,4-phenylenediamine  
 25 and 40 wt. % of *N*-[4-( $\alpha,\alpha$ -dimethylbenzyl)phenyl]-*N'*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-1,4-phenylenediamine (Dusantox). In Table 3, the results of an analogous study are given, where homopolymer Krasten 171 was replaced by tough polystyrene Krasten 562 (HIPS).

Table 2

Dependence of tensile impact strength ( $\alpha_s$ ) of sLDPE/PS and sLDPE/PS/rPET model blends on the composition of the compatibilization system

5	Blend:	K1	K2	K3	K4	K5	K6
	sLDPE (%)	70	66.5	63	66.5	66.5	70
	PS (%)	30	28.5	27	28.5	28.5	30
	rPET (%)	0	5	5	0	5	0
	EPDM (%)	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0
10	SBS (%)	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0
	Dusantox (pph)	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	$\alpha_s$ (kJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	9.9	10.1	75.2	84.0	12.6	15.2

Table 3

15 Dependence of tensile impact strength ( $\alpha_s$ ) of sLDPE/HIPS and sLDPE/HIPS/rPET model blends on the composition of the compatibilization system.

	Blend	E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6
	sLDPE (%)	70	66.5	63	66.5	63	66.5
	HIPS (%)	30	28.5	27	28.5	27	28.5
20	rPET (%)	0	5	5	0	5	0
	EPDM (%)	0	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
	SBS (%)	0	0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
	Dusantox (pph)	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5
	$\alpha_s$ (kJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	28.0	15.3	52.0	50.0	97.0	145.0

25

It follows from Tables 2 and 3 that an admixture of a small amount of a mixture of an ethylene – propylene elastomer with a styrene – butadiene block copolymer and substituted 1,4-phenylenediamines (Dusantox) enhances several times the toughness of aged low-density

polyethylene - polystyrene blends with or without an admixture of poly(ethylene terephthalate). From the tables, the synergy between the action of the copolymer mixture and 1,4-phenylenediamine derivatives is evident.

### 5 Example 3

The values of toughness in tensile impact strength of samples prepared under production conditions in Transform Co., Czech Republic are given in Table 4. The mixtures were prepared by extrusion on a one-screw extruder of Transform Co. at basic setting of technological conditions for production of pallets and cable troughs. The basic raw material  
 10 was a production blend Standard (Trans S), the components of the compatibilization system were ethylene - propylene elastomer Exxelor X1 703F1 (EPM), styrene - butadiene - styrene block copolymer Vector 4461 (SBS), and a mixture of 60 wt. % of *N*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-*N'*-phenyl-1,4-phenylenediamine and 40 wt. % of *N*-[4-( $\alpha,\alpha$ -dimethylbenzyl)phenyl]-*N'*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-1,4-phenylenediamine (Dusantox). Specimens for measurements of tensile  
 15 impact strength ( $\alpha_e$ ) were prepared from the material taken from cable troughs. The material was mixed in the chamber of a Brabender plastometer W50EHT at 190 °C for a kneader speed of 60 min<sup>-1</sup> a period of 8 min and pressed into plates on a laboratory press Fontijne at 200 °C for a period of 4 min. The measurement of  $\alpha_e$  proceeded by the procedure described in Example 1.

20

Table 4

Effect of compatibilization on tensile impact strength ( $\alpha_e$ ) of blends prepared under production conditions

Blend:	1	2	3	4
25 Trans S (%)	100	95	97.5	100
EPM (%)	0	1	0.5	0
SBS (%)	0	4	2	0
Dusantox (pph)	0	0.2	0.2	0.2
$\alpha_e$ (kJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	4.3	11.1	9.2	6.3

30



## Example 4

The results of a study of the dependence of toughness of compatibilized commingled plastics waste on the types of ethylene – propylene elastomer and styrene – butadiene block copolymer are given in Table 5. A production blend from Transform Co., Czech Republic (Trans VS) was used a starting raw material. Ethylene – propylene elastomers Buna AP 337 (EP1), Dutral Co 034 (EP2) and Dutral Co 038 (EP3), and styrene – butadiene block copolymers Europrene SOL T 168 (SB1), Vector 6241 (SB2) and Europrene SOL T 6414 (SB3) in combination with a mixture of 60 wt. % of *N*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-*N'*-phenyl-1,4-phenylenediamine and 40 wt. % of *N*-[4-( $\alpha,\alpha$ -dimethylbenzyl)phenyl]-*N'*-(1,3-dimethylbutyl)-1,4-phenylenediamine (Dusantox). Commingled plastics waste with the compatibilization system were mixed in the chamber of a Brabender plastometer W50EHT at 240 °C and at a kneader speed of 90 min<sup>-1</sup> for a period of 5 min. Specimens for measurement of tensile impact strength were prepared by pressing in a laboratory press for a period of 10 s. The tensile impact strength ( $\alpha_k$ ) was measured by the method described in Example 1.

Table 5

Dependence of tensile impact strength ( $\alpha_k$ ) of commingled plastics waste on the composition of the compatibilization system

Blend:	I	II	III	IV	V	VI
Trans VS (%)	100	100	95	95	95	95
SB1 (%)	0	0	0	0	0	2.5
SB2 (%)	0	0	2.5	2.5	0	0
SB3 (%)	0	0	0	0	2.5	0
EP1 (%)	0	0	0	0	0	2.5
EP2 (%)	0	0	2.5	0	0	0
EP3 (%)	0	0	0	2.5	2.5	0
Dusantox (pph)	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
$\alpha_k$ (kJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	9.2	13.1	20.2	16.3	15.0	25.0

It follows from Table 5 that all the used combinations of SB copolymers and EP elastomers exert a favourable influence on the toughness of commingled plastics waste even though the achieved values of  $\alpha_e$  depend on their structure.

5

#### Industrial Applicability

The method of recycling commingled plastics waste containing min. 30 wt. % of polyolefins to tough thermoplastic material is envisaged for industrial utilization in the field of:

1. material recycling of commingled polyolefin, polystyrene and other types of plastics  
10 waste to a secondary mixed material or directly to secondary articles
2. preparation of new materials based on mixed polyolefin – polystyrene materials.

Claims

1. Method of recycling commingled plastics waste containing min. 30 wt.% of polyolefins to  
5 tough thermoplastic material characterized in that polymer components of commingled  
plastics waste are compatibilized by an admixture of 2 – 15 wt.% of an ethylene –  
propylene copolymer (i) or a styrene – butadiene block copolymer (ii) or a combination of  
an ethylene – propylene copolymer (i) and a styrene – butadiene copolymer (ii) in any  
weight ratio together, with 0.1 – 2.5 wt. % of a secondary aromatic amine (iii) and by  
10 subsequent melt processing of the mixture.
2. Method of recycling commingled plastics waste containing min. 30 wt.% of polyolefins to  
a tough thermoplastic material according to Claim 1 characterized in that the ethylene –  
propylene copolymer (i) is a copolymer with an average molecular weight  $M_w$  of 40000 –  
15 800000, which contains min. 15 % and max. 60 % of propylene units, the styrene –  
butadiene block copolymer (ii) is a copolymer with an average molecular weight  $M_w$  of  
40000 - 300000, which contains min. 15 % and max. 60 % of polystyrene blocks with an  
average molecular weight  $M_w$  of polystyrene blocks of min. 6000 and max. 60000, and the  
secondary aromatic amine (iii) is selected from the group consisting of *N,N'*-diaryl-1,4-  
20 phenylenediamine, *N*-alkyl-*N'*-aryl-1,4-phenylenediamine and of the reaction product of  
diphenylamine and acetone.
3. Method of compatibilization of commingled plastics waste containing min. 30 wt.% of  
polyolefins to tough thermoplastic material according to Claim 1 characterized in that the  
25 compatibilization is performed by processing the mixture melt in a one-screw or multi-  
screw extruder or in a batch kneader.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/CZ 03/00059

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 7 C08J11/06 C08L23/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 C08J C08L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0 506 614 A (CIBA GEIGY AG) 30 September 1992 (1992-09-30) the whole document ---	1-3
A	US 5 177 146 A (SCOBBO JR JAMES J ET AL) 5 January 1993 (1993-01-05) the whole document ---	1-3
A	US 5 321 081 A (CHUNDURY DEENADAYALU ET AL) 14 June 1994 (1994-06-14) the whole document -----	1-3

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 January 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

30/01/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Puttins, U

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/CZ 03/00059

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0506614	A	30-09-1992	CA 2063970 A1	28-09-1992
			DE 59209680 D1	02-06-1999
			EP 0506614 A2	30-09-1992
			ES 2132114 T3	16-08-1999
			JP 3248002 B2	21-01-2002
			JP 5132577 A	28-05-1993
			US 5298540 A	29-03-1994
<hr/>				
US 5177146	A	05-01-1993	NONE	
<hr/>				
US 5321081	A	14-06-1994	US 5106696 A	21-04-1992
			AU 4503789 A	12-06-1990
			CA 2002695 A1	15-05-1990
			EP 0444058 A1	04-09-1991
			NZ 231372 A	28-10-1992
			WO 9005759 A1	31-05-1990
			ZA 8909445 A	28-12-1990
<hr/>				